



EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **UNITED STATE Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		-	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	7
	09/420,787	10/19/99	DANIELS			~ M3850.0029/P	
ſ	- PERKINS COIE LLF 1201 3RD AVENUE SEATTLE WA 98109	IE LLP	WM01/1106 TE 4800 . 99			EXAMINER MAIER, C	
		/ENUE SUITE 4			ART UNIT 2675	PAPER NUMBER](
					DATE MAILED:	11/06/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/420,787 DANIELS, TED Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Christopher J. Maier 2675 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Christopher J. Maier. (3) John Wechkin. (2) Steve Sara. (4) David Dutcher. Date of Interview: 31 October 2001. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,9,16 and 35. Identification of prior art discussed: Klein (6,205,021 B1) and Kimura (6,108,716). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The 112, 1st Paragraph, rejections of claims 9 and 16 was discussed and clarified, and have been withdrawn as a result. The proposed amendments to claims 1 and 35 were also discussed and have been agreed to become formalized. Claims 1 and 35 now appear to be patentable over the discussed prior art, pending a further search. . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on

STEVEN SARAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
CLOGY CENTER 2600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner's signature, if required



Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check the appropriate box at the bottom of the Form which informs the applicant that the submission of a separate record of the substance of the interview as a supplement to the Form is not required.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

CONFIDENTIAL

PRIVILEGED

PERKINS COIE LLP- SEATTLE

FAX NUMBER: (206) 583-8500 SWITCHBOARD: (206) 583-8888

₽.₽	I HERE ARE PROBLEMS	WITH	THIS	TRANSMISSIO	V. PLEASE	CALL.
-----	---------------------	------	------	-------------	-----------	-------

		GALLAND REC	X ROOM: (206) 583- CEPTION: (206) 583- ON: (206) 287-3505 Oder: (206) 583-8888	8888
Addressee:	United States	Patent and Trad	lemark Office	FAX NO. <u>(703) 872-9314</u>
	Christopher (INDIVIDUAL)	l. Maier	Direct Dial	(703) 605-1213
From:	John M. Wecl	ikin	Date <u>Octob</u>	er 29. 2001
Cover Sheet &	2	page(s)	Client Number	
Return to	Brooke Alexai	nder	/ 3602 EXT.	OFFICE LOCATION
Original docum Message:	eent(s) will be:	sent to you	⊠ held in our files	

Sent By			
пен ву	 ☐ Call Addressec to confirm they received this fax		
	 - Car riddiesec to commin they received this far		

This Fax contains confidential, privileged information intended only for the intended addressee. Do not read, copy or disseminate it unless you are the intended addressee. If you have received this Fax in error, please email it back to the sender at perkinscoic.com and delete it from your system or call us (collect) immediately at (206) 583-8575, and mail the original Fax to Perkins Coic 11.P., 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4800, Scattle, WA 98101-3099.

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

Ted Daniels

Application No.

09/420,787

Confirmation No.: 3297

Filed

October 19, 1999

For

PORTABLE INPUT DEVICE FOR COMPUTER

Examiner

29- 1 ;12:15PM ;

Christopher J. Maier

Art Unit

2675

Docket No.

108298604US

Date

November 14, 2001

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

PROPOSED CLAIM AMENDMENT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

Dear Examiner Majer:

Please find below proposed claim amendments for discussion purposes only in connection with the above-referenced application.

- 1. (Amended) A portable personal computer, comprising:
 - a base having a controller for controlling operations thereof, said base having a

recess;

- a display attached to said base; and
- a removable wireless [input device] keyboard for communicating with said controller in said base through a signal interface when mounted into said base or through a wireless connection when removed from said base, said keyboard having an upper surface, a lower surface and at least one side surface with at least one of the lower surface and the at least one side surface being received in said recess when said keyboard is mounted is said base.

1 ;12:15PM ; 206 583 85

35. (Amended) A method of controlling a portable computer with a[n input device] keyboard, said method comprising [the acts of] operating a controller within a base of said computer with said [an input device] keyboard while at least one of an upper surface and a side surface of said keyboard is received in a recess of said base, said keyboard [which] generat[cs]ing operational signals to said controller when disposed at least partially within [connected with] said base as well as when physically separated from said base.

REMARKS

The foregoing amendments are submitted for discussion purposes only in a telephone conference scheduled for Wednesday, October 31, 2001 at 2 PM Eastern Time.

Respectfully submitted,

Perkins Coie LLP

John M. Wechkin Registration No. 42,216